

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,505	07/08/2003	Jean-Luc Collet	FR920020050US1	9234
45095 HOFFMAN W	7590 05/19/200 'ARNICK LLC	EXAMINER		
75 STATE ST			TRAN, QUOC A	
14 FL ALBANY, NY	12207		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/615,505	COLLET ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Quoc A. Tran	2176		

	Quoc A. Tran	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) A Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 7 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period re- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	Filed within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 			ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		. ,	,				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. \(\subseteq \text{ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) }\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.7-10.16 and 17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Quoc A, Tran/ Patent Examiner	/Rachna S Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2176					

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 101 rejection to claim 9, which was previouly presented in the Office Action dated 02/19/2008.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's Request for reconsideration filed 04/18/2008 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

It is noted Applicant's arguments are substantially directed towards same issues as presented in the Remarks filed 11/26/2007, Thus Koss and Gauthier disclose every limitation of Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 16-17 and provide proper reasons to combine, as indicated in the Office Action dated 02/22/2008, in further view of the following:

In addition, as discuss in the Office Action dated 02/22/2008, Koss discloses importing the source text files into the spreadsheet according to the default formatting established with the link. using the INDEX items 106, 107 and so on that is importing the source file from XF table; this allows the user modifiable file format profile to be associated with source text files (i.e. attributes, such as font type font size, etc. where each cell in the spreadsheet contains an internal index which references a cell to an entry in the extended format table (i.e. XF table) See, Koss, Fig. 1 and col. 3, fine 47 through col. 5, line 62.

For further clarification, it is noted Gauthier discloses in FIG. 3 the window 300 showing a typical screen display in a MICROSOFT EXCEL 2002 spreadsheet program when the user has selected the option to import external data into a MICROSOFT EXCEL 2002 worksheet. The MICROSOFT EXCEL 2002 window 300 includes a drop-down menu bar 305 and a command bar 310. The MICROSOFT EXCEL 2002 window 300 also contains a viewing area 315. The contents of the MICROSOFT EXCEL 2002 program are viewed in the viewing area 315, which contains a plurality of cells that may contain text, numbers, formula or the like, Gauthier further discloses a plurality of icons 340, each having a visual attribute, are displayed proximate to each instance of tabular data in the Web page. Each icon 340 provides a visual indication to the user of which objects on the Web page are tabular data and can be imported into the spreadsheet program. Additionally, an icon for the entire Web page as 35 is displayed in the upper left corner of the viewing area 330. This indicates to the user that the contents of the entire Web page 335 all have at least one visual attribute, such as a color, a symbol, text, a font, or a combination of these elements associated with them

For example, in the illustration, each icon (335, 340) comprises an arrow surrounded by a box, whose background color is set to glow. These icons (335, 340) provide the advantage of quickly identifying objects in the Web page that contain tabular data. In this way, the user may simply look at the Web page displayed in the New Web Query dialog box 320 and obtain a graphical representation of what elements may be imported into the Web Query, rather than having to review the underlying HTML document. See Gauthier Fig. 3 and at Page (s) 7.8 Para 67-69. Also, Koss at Column 5, Lines 20-25 discloses the steps of said saves the size of the current XF table.

Thus, the prior art clearly discloses importing the plurality of source text files into the singly spreadsheet file after the creating the sourceformat link, saves the size of the current XF table. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine their teaching to result the claimed invention.

/Quoc A, Tran/ Patent Examiner